This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademork Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | | | 8935 | |
| 09/903,425 | 07/10/2001 | Hans-Stephan Albrecht | LMPY-12910 | 0,733 | |
| | 590 07/25/2003 | | EXAMINER | | |
| Andrew V. Sn Sierra Patent Gr | roup | | VY, HUNG T | | |
| P.O. Box 6149 Stateline, NV 89449 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2828 | 2828 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/25/2003 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | L | | |
|--|---|---|--|------------|--|--|
| | • | 09/903,425 | ALBRECHT ET AL. | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Hung T Vy | 2828 | | | |
| Period fo | Th MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | | e correspondence addre | ss | | |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) drill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frocause the application to become ABANDOI | timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this commi | unication. | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 N | <u>lay 2003</u> . | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> □ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi | s action is non-final. | , | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | ion of Claims | . • | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | vn from consideration. | 0 0 | | | |
| 5) 🗌 | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | Paul De | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) 🗌 | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | OII | PAUL IP PERVISORY PATENT EXA | MINER | | |
| | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers | election requirement. | TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2 | 800 | | |
| 9) 🗌 🤈 | The specification is objected to by the Examiner | • | | | | |
| 10) 🗌 . | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep | ted or b)⊡ objected to by.the Ex | aminer. | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. | See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| 11) 🗌 . | The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapp | roved by the Examiner. | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a)[| ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | have been received. | · | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | have been received in Applica | ation No | | | |
| * 5 | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur see the attached detailed Office action for a list of | eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | ge | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | |
| Attachment | - | 5 phoney under 60 0.0.0. 38 12 | and/01 121. | | | |
| 1) Notic | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informa | ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-15 | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

1. In response to the amendment filed on 05/03/2003, claims 1-19 are pending in this application as result of the cancellation of claim 20.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 1, 3, 5 and 7, the claim fails to show the wavelength measurement system for perform a plurality function.

Claims 2,4,6, and 8-19 depend from rejected claim 1, 3, 5 and 7 thereby render these dependent claims indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/903,425

Art Unit: 2828

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

35 U.S.C. § 102(e), as revised by the AIPA and H.R. 2215, applies to all qualifying references, except when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. For such patents, the prior art date is determined under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as it existed prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)).

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U. S. C. § 102 (e) as being anticipated by Everage et al., U.S. patent No. 6,078,599.

Regarding claims 1-9, Everage et al. discloses a compensating optical drift of a wavelength measurement system, comprising the steps of:

- (a) operating the laser system including generating a laser beam (38) and directing a beam portion through the wavelength measurement system (40)(Fig 4);
- (b) Calibrating the wavelength measurement system to an absolute reference (42), further, fig 2, 3 show on graph show the negative value and positive value, in order to perform this function, they should have absolute reference;
- (c) Determining the wavelength (42) of the laser beam, said wavelength determining step comprising the steps of:
- (i) transmitting wavelength information measured by said wavelength measurement system;

Application/Control Number: 09/903,425

Art Unit: 2828

(ii) retrieving a drift compensation value stored as corresponding to a current laser system operating condition; and

- (iii) calculating the wavelength of the laser beam based on the transmitted wavelength information and the retrieved drift compensation value (See fig. 6); and
- (c) tuning (See column 2, line 62-65) the output beam to a target (40) wavelength using the wavelength measurement system (See fig 4);
- (d) detecting a measured wavelength of the output beam using the wavelength measurement system after a predetermined period of laser operation (See fig. 4, 42 is computer system so the computer will predetermined period of laser operation).
- (e) calculating a compensated wavelength by figuring in a previously determined drift compensation value(See fig. 5 and 6); and
- (f) adjusting the wavelength of the laser beam to the target wavelength when the compensated wavelength differs from the target wavelength (See 4, 5 and 6).

Regarding claims 10-14, Everage et al. discloses the wavelength measurement system comprises a monitor etalon (See column 1, line 18-32), the drift compensation values are determined by comparing wavelength values determined using the monitor etalon with values determined using a calibrated spectrometer (it is inherent that the computer 46 and 40) in a test run. It is inherent that the drift compensation values are tabulated with each entry in a table corresponding to a drift compensation value at a different amount of laser operation for a give set of laser operation conditions because Everage et al disclose the computer system (46) and laser wavelength detection device (40).

Application/Control Number: 09/903,425

Art Unit: 2828

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth insection 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15-19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Everage et al., U.S. patent No. 6,078,599 in view of Myers et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,128,323.

Regarding claims 15-19, the methods of compensating optical are considered as apparatus by process steps. Therefore, Everage et al. disclose a compensating optical drift of a wavelength measurement system, wherein different tables are generated corresponding to differing values of laser operation conditions (in computer system and laser wavelength detection device) but Everge et al. do not disclose the amount of laser operation is measured versus a parameter that generally increases as the laser operates, wherein that parameter is selected from the group of parameters consisting of as time, pulse count, input energy to the discharge, and total output energy and at least one condition selected from the group of conditions consisting of repetition rate, burst rate, output power, optical arrangement, discharge conditions, gas mixture composition, gas mixture age, age of laser chamber and age of resonator optics. However, Mysers

Application/Control Number: 09/903,425

Art Unit: 2828

et al. disclose parameters consisting as time, pulse count (See column 11, line 60), and gas mixture composition (See column 17, line 6-22)

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify to have a parameter that generally increases as the laser operates because those skilled in the art will recognize that such modification and variations can be made without departing from the spirit of the invention. It would have been obvious to provide Everage et al. with the limitation as taught and suggested by Myers et al.

5. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U. S. C. § 102 (b) as being anticipated by Das et al., U.S. patent No. 5,835,520.

Regarding claims 1-19, Das et al. discloses a compensating optical drift of a wavelength measurement system, comprising the steps of:

- (a) operating the laser system (2) including generating a laser beam and directing a beam portion through the wavelength measurement system (Fig 1,6, and 8);
- (b) Calibrating the wavelength measurement system to an absolute reference (See column 6, line 23-33)
- (c) tuning (See column 6, line 10-23) the output beam to a target wavelength using the wavelength measurement system (See fig 1,6, and 8);
- (d) detecting a measured wavelength of the output beam using the wavelength measurement system after a predetermined period of laser operation (See column 5, line 13-25).

Art Unit: 2828

(e) calculating a compensated wavelength by figuring in a previously determined drift compensation value(See column 6, line 19-22); and

(f) adjusting the wavelength of the laser beam to the target wavelength when the compensated wavelength differs from the target wavelength (See 1,6 and 8).

Citation of Pertinent References

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to 6. applicant's disclosure.

The patent to Das et al. discloses Wavelength Reference for Excimer Laser, U.S. Patent No. 5,978,391.

Conclusion

- 7. When responding to the office action, Applicants are advised to provide the examiner with the line numbers and page numbers in the application and/or references cited to assist the examiner to locate the appropriate paragraphs.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 8. examiner should be directed to Hung VY whose telephone number is (703) 605-0759. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30 am - 5:30pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Art Unit: 2828

supervisor, Paul IP can be reached on (703) 308-3098. The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

PAUL IP SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Hung T. Vy Art Unit 2828 June 3, 2003